



HIGH LONESOME RANCH ESTATES PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION

P O Box 215, Elfrida, AZ 85610-0215

Official website: www.hlrpoa.com
Official BOD e-mail: admin@hlrpoa.com



Board of Directors On-Line Meeting, 10 July, 2015 Minutes and Transcript

The meeting was called to order by President Cavanaugh on July 10 at 9:46 am to discuss and consider for approval two Architecture Review Requests reported by the Architecture Review Committee. At 9:53 Ms. Crouch moved approval of the Stanford ARR for a shed and attached workshop. At 10:09 am Mr. Levin moved approval of the Crouch ARR for a Jacuzzi privacy screen. Both motions were seconded by Ms. Cavanaugh at 10:48 am, thus leaving two pending motions before the board*.

After some premature voting was declared out of order by Ms. Cavanaugh, Mr. Christopher asked questions on June 3 at 9:26 am about materials for the Luna lean-to and the reason for the abstentions among the ARC members, which were answered by Ms. Crouch and Mr. Levin, respectively. On June 4 at 12:15pm Mr. Holt added his opinions as an ARC member and Mr. Levin revised the reported ARC vote counts.

At 10:51 am Ms. Cavanaugh opened the floor for Board member comments, and at 9:31 pm she opened the floor to the membership at large. Comments were received from three Board members expressing favorable opinions of both ARRs.

At 12:20 pm July 11 Ms. Cavanaugh called for a vote, and the Board Members voted 5-0 for approval of the Stanford ARR and 4-0 (Ms. Crouch recused herself) for approval of the Crouch ARR. On July 12 at 9:27 am Ms. Cavanaugh announced the vote results and closed the meeting.

A full transcript of the meeting is included.

Handwritten signature of Joel B. Levin in brown ink. Below the signature, the text "Joel B. Levin" is printed in a light blue, sans-serif font.

* While motions are considered one at a time in a standard face-to-face meeting, it makes sense in the on-line format to have multiple motions before the board as long as they are closely related (e.g. several ARRs or a couple of similar road repairs) and could reasonably be discussed at the same time. Unrelated items being handled at the same on-line meeting would have to be taken up one at a time.

Transcript

This on-line meeting of the board of directors is being called to discuss two Architecture Review Requests presented by the ARR Committee to the Board of Directors. The ARRs are posted on the [HLRPOA web site](#).

Phyllis Cavanaugh July 10, 2015 at 9:46 am

Greetings!

Welcome to our on-line meeting to discuss two ARRs. They are posted on the website for your review.

At this time, I am asking a board member for a motion and a second. Then any discussion with follow.

Community members, please refrain from comments until we have a motion and a second, then we will open the meeting for comments from the community.

Thank you!

Phyllis

Adele Crouch July 10, 2015 at 9:53 am

I make a motion we accept the Stanford ARR as presented. I must abstain from the Crouch ARR.

Joel Levin July 10, 2015 at 10:09 am

I move we approve the Crouch ARR as presented.

Phyllis Cavanaugh July 10, 2015 at 10:47 am

I move to accept the Stanford ARR as submitted. Is there a second for this? Once/if we get a second, I feel we can discuss the two ARRs at the same time if necessary.

Phyllis Cavanaugh July 10, 2015 at 10:48 am

Sorry, Adele,

I mis-read your motion. I remove my motion for Stanford and add a second for the Stanford and Crouch motions.

Phyllis Cavanaugh July 10, 2015 at 10:51 am

Board,

We have two motions and two seconds.

Are there any comments regarding either ARR? I am leaving this open for discussion by the board members until this evening when I will open the discussion to community members.

Board members, please wait until I call for a vote to submit your vote. Thank you.

Adele Crouch July 10, 2015 at 11:31 am

I have reviewed the Stanford ARR. I see nothing that does not comply with the CCR's. It all looks good. I have no questions for Mr. Stanford.

Louise Novak July 10, 2015 at 3:08 pm

Both ARR's look good to me

Phyllis Cavanaugh July 10, 2015 at 9:31 pm Edit

Thank you!

This meeting is now open for any comments from community members before the board votes on the motions. Does anyone have any comments regarding the ARRs?

I will leave this open until tomorrow evening when I will call for a vote.

Joel Levin July 11, 2015 at 12:11 am

I would also add that I have no problems with the ARRs themselves (not surprising since the ARC recommended them unanimously).

Phyllis Cavanaugh July 11, 2015 at 12:20 pm

Hi All,

We have a motion for approval and a second for each arr. I am now asking for a vote from the board. I vote yes for both.

Sincerely,

Adele Crouch July 11, 2015 at 12:52 pm

I vote yes for Stanford and abstain from Crouch.

Margaret July 11, 2015 at 1:20 pm

I vote yes for both the Stanford and Crouch ARRs.

Louise Novak July 11, 2015 at 3:30 pm

I vote yes for both the Stanford and Crouch ARR's

Joel Levin July 11, 2015 at 7:33 pm

I vote yes for both the Stanford and the Crouch ARRs.

Phyllis Cavanaugh July 12, 2015 at 9:27 am

Thank you! This adjourns the on-line meeting regarding the Crouch and Stanford ARRs which were both unanimously approved.

[Comments are closed.]